



WEST MERCIA ENERGY JOINT COMMITTEE

Appendix 3

ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2013/14

Scope of Responsibility

West Mercia Energy Joint Committee (Joint Committee) is responsible for ensuring that its business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

The Joint Committee also has a duty under the Local Government Act 1999 to make arrangements to secure continuous improvement in the way in which its functions are exercised, having regard to a combination of economy, efficiency and effectiveness

In discharging this overall responsibility, the Joint Committee is also responsible for ensuring that there is a sound system of internal control which facilitates the effective exercise of the organisation's functions and which includes arrangements for the management of risk.

The Joint Committee has an interlocking set of documents, protocols and procedures that provide assurance in corporate governance matters which are consistent with the CIPFA/SOLACE Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework (2007), CIPFA/SOLACE Application Note to Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: a Framework (December 2012) and CIPFA The Role of the Chief Financial Officer in Local Government (2010) and meets the requirements of regulation 4(2) of the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011 in relation to the publication of an Annual Governance Statement.

The Purpose of the Governance Framework

The governance framework comprises the systems and processes, and culture values, by which the Joint Committee is directed and controlled and reviews how its activities contribute to the strategic objectives of the Owing Authorities. It enables the Joint Committee to monitor the achievement of its own strategic objectives and to consider whether those objectives have led to the delivery of the intended outcomes as set out in the Business Plan.

The system of internal control is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level and is not intended to eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives completely. It can therefore only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance of effectiveness. The system of internal control is designed to identify and prioritise the risks to the achievement of the Joint Committee policies, aims and objectives, to evaluate the likelihood of those risks being realised and the impact should they be realised, and to manage them efficiently, effectively and economically.

It is kept under continual review and changes are made to accommodate a changing risk profile when and where necessary. The Joint Committee seeks to maintain sound systems to protect against risks and mitigate their impact upon the organisation. The systems are constantly being reviewed and updated. Risks in this policy relate to the whole organisation and not just trading risks which inevitably form the largest sector of risk.



The Governance Framework

The business is operated under the authority of a Joint Committee formed under the Local Government Act 1972, the Member Authorities being Shropshire Council, Herefordshire Council, Worcestershire County Council and Telford & Wrekin Council. A Joint Agreement between those Member Authorities determines the governance arrangements.

The Joint Committee is the elected Member body responsible for the discharge of the functions of the Member Authorities. The Joint Agreement determines a number of strategic policies that shall be maintained and provides Financial Regulations for the business. It operates under a system of Standing Orders, an annual business plan (including budget) and strategic policies. Many of the strategic policies are modelled on those adopted by Shropshire Council.

Shropshire Council acts as the Lead Authority and employs staff and holds property employed on behalf of the Member Authorities. A Secretary and a Treasurer to the Joint Committee are appointed from the Officers of the Member Authorities. A Director, appointed by the Joint Committee, operates and manages the business on a day to day basis.

From June 2013 in order to reflect the size of the organisation and simplify the governance arrangements appropriate to risk, the duties of the Audit Committee transferred to the Joint Committee. These duties include review of the financial and performance reporting of the organisation, the adequacy of the internal control, governance and risk management framework and considering any issues arising from the auditing of the organisation either by Internal or External Audit.

Objectives, targets and performance measures are set in an Annual Business Plan which reflects the outcome of external and customer consultation, analysis of current and future needs and consideration of current performance.

Members, officers and staff behaviours are governed by Codes of Conduct, which include a requirement for declarations of interest to be completed by Members and Managers annually. Registers of interests of Members are maintained by their own councils.

Key decisions are made by the Joint Committee based on written reports which may include assessments of legal and financial implications, consideration of risks and how these will be managed. Other day to day decisions are made by Managers, which were referred to the Director as appropriate.

Risk Management procedures are formalised within the Risk Management Strategy, which is reviewed on an annual basis. The Business Continuity Plan is reviewed on an annual basis.

Review of Effectiveness

The review of the effectiveness of the system of internal control is informed by the work of the internal auditors and the managers within the organisation who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the internal control environment.



Internal Audit

2013/14 Audit programme

Nine audits were performed during the year. Six audits were issued with good assurance and two with reasonable assurance with a total of 20 recommendations from these eight audits. The ninth audit relating to General IT Controls audit gave rise to an unsatisfactory opinion as a result of the number of significant recommendations (16 out of the total of 17 made). The majority of the recommendations made related to the necessary improvements in documentation and policies. Overall there were no fundamental recommendations from any of the audits conducted.

On the basis of the work undertaken and management responses received, the Audit Service Manager has qualified her overall opinion on the organisation's internal control environment due to the assurances provided on the general IT controls. The issues identified were considered to be sufficient to warrant qualifying the opinion to the extent that management must prioritise implementing their positive responses to address the matters raised. Whilst identifying these control weaknesses and highlighting them to management, there has been no evidence of significant IT business failure or material errors that could result in a material misstatement in the organisation's accounts and reliance can still be placed upon them for that purpose.

Significant Governance Issues

As detailed above, the review process has highlighted some areas within the general IT controls where management must prioritise the implementation of their positive responses to address the matters raised.

Certification

To the best of our knowledge, the governance arrangements as defined above have been operating effectively during the year. Steps will be taken over the coming year to resolve the governance arrangements as highlighted above. Any improvements implemented shall be monitored as part of the next annual review.

Treasurer:
(James Walton)

.....


Chairman of the
West Mercia Energy Joint Committee:
(Cllr A Hardman)

.....